# A Comprehensive Earthquake Focal Mechanism Catalog for Nevada Obtained **Through Deep Learning Algorithms**

Avigyan Chatterjee<sup>1</sup>; Gaurav Srikar<sup>1</sup>; Colin N. Pennington<sup>2</sup>; Daniel T. Trugman<sup>1</sup>; William R. Walter<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nevada Seismological Laboratory(achatterjee@unr.edu), <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

## 1. Abstract

The state of Nevada ranks among the most seismically active regions in the United States, characterized by numerous active fault systems throughout the Walker Lane and Basin and Range tectonic areas. Despite the high seismic activity rates, Nevada currently lacks a comprehensive catalog of earthquake focal mechanisms that could enhance our understanding of seismotectonic processes.



#### 2. Introduction

 $-121^{\circ}$   $-120^{\circ}$   $-119^{\circ}$   $-118^{\circ}$   $-117^{\circ}$   $-116^{\circ}$   $-115^{\circ}$   $-114^{\circ}$ Figure 1: Map of relocated seismicity plotted as black dots with major and more recent earthquake sequences labeled in red. Trugman (2024)

We enhance the NSL's first-motion polarity database with additional measurements using a convolutional neural network. We also incorporate new S/P amplitude ratio measurements to provide further constraints on event mechanisms.



Figure 2: Distribution of 61512 unique earthquakes along with recording stations in the region. Focal mechanisms have been computed for all these events. The outline of the NNSS is represented by a dashed line in the plot.

#### Data

• 61512 earthquakes with  $M_L \ge 1.5$  occurring between 2008 and 2023.

#### Methods

- Computing focal mechanisms:
- 1. Deep-learning-based method for determination of first-motion polarities. 2. Measure S/P Amplitude Ratios.
- Determining earthquake focal mechanisms from P-wave first-motion polarities and S/P ratios using SKHASH. (Skoumal et. al, 2024)
- 2 velocity models; Trugman, et.al (2023) for Northern Nevada, Preston, et.al (2018) for Southern Nevada.





# 3. Deep Learning Model

- Dataset: Trained on Nevada and Southern California data, ~900k recordings with analyst picked polarities.(Up, down and unknown.)
- Trained as a regression model instead of a classification model. • Output: Probability of Down.

Poolina (P  $-F_{3,2}$   $+F_{3,3}$   $+E_{3,3}$  $- F_{n,2} + F_{n,3} + F_{n,3}$ 

Figure 3: Convolutional Neural Network architecture was adopted from Ross et.al (2018), with some modifications. The modification included using a kernel regularizer in the output layer.



Figure 4: The model computes the probability of the polarity being down. For values where  $0 \le P(D) \le 0.3$ , the polarity is up and polarity is down when  $0.7 \le P(D) \le 1.0$ . All other values are considered as 'Unknowns'.

### 4. S/P Amplitude Ratios

- Provide important additional information to constrain the focal mechanism besides polarities.
- Using both polarities and S/P ratios provides more accurate earthquake focal mechanisms than only using polarities.

-	NN.S11AHHN												
1500 - 1000 -							Di ar wi	fferend nd min indow	ce betwe amplitud	en ma e in ea	x act	1	
500 -			. <b>M</b> p		~~~	~~~~	nfun	~~ <b>}</b> //////	MmmmMMM	MMM	14	<b>\\\</b>	<b>"</b>
-500 -													
-1000 -									P-wave arriva				
-1500 -									P-wave signa S-wave arriva S-wave signa	l window al I window			
-2000 -									Noise Window	V			
	0.	.0	2.	5		5.	.0	7.	.5 10 Time (s)	0.0	12	.5	

Figure 5: Calculate S/P signal and S/P noise ratio by computing the difference in maximum and minimum amplitude for P and S waves in 2-second noise windows (0.5s before each arrival) and signal windows (starting 0.5s before each arrival, ending 1.5s after)







Figure 6: SKHASH evaluates focal mechanism quality based on criteria such as polarity and S/P ratio misfits, RMS fault plane uncertainty, station distribution ratio, and mechanism probabilities. Ratings range from 'A' (highest quality) to 'F' (lowest quality).

### 6. Discussion

Large earthquake catalogue with analyst picked polarities, P-wave arrivals and S-wave arrivals from NSL's database. . High quality focal mechanism solutions for ~10000 events that occurred from 2010 to 2023. A: 1019; B: 2187; C: 6329 Polarity reversals need a closer look. . Implementing in real-time.



Figure 7: Map here shows the spatial distribution of high-quality focal mechanisms retrieved through our workflow.

### 7. References

- Ross, et.al (2018). P wave arrival picking and first-motion polarity determination with deep learning. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
- Seismological Research Letters.
- Cheng, et. al(2023). Refined Earthquake Focal Mechanism Catalog for Southern • California Derived With Deep Learning Algorithms. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.

# Acknowledgements

This Source Physics Experiment (SPE) research was funded by the National Nuclear Security Administration, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development (NNSA DNN R&D). The authors acknowledge important interdisciplinary collaboration with scientists and engineers from LANL, LLNL, NNSS, and SNL. Performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 bearing release number LLNL-POST- .





*P(D): 0.53* 







• Skoumal, et.al (2024). SKHASH: A Python Package for Computing Earthquake Focal Mechanisms.